



University of Saint Francis
Traditional Report AY 2016-17
Indiana



REPORT COMPLETE

STATUS: CERTIFIED

Institution Information

ADDRESS

2701 Spring Street

CITY

Fort Wayne

STATE

Indiana

ZIP

46808

SALUTATION

Ms.

FIRST NAME

Maureen

LAST NAME

McCon

PHONE

(260) 399-7700

EMAIL

mmccon@sf.edu

Is your institution a member of an HEA Title II Teacher Quality Partnership (TQP) grant awarded by the U.S. Department of Education?

(<https://www2.ed.gov/programs/tqpartnership/awards.html>)

☐ Yes
☒ No

If yes, provide the following:

AWARD YEAR

GRANTEE NAME

PROJECT NAME

GRANT NUMBER

LIST PARTNER DISTRICTS/LEAS (ONE PER LINE)

LIST OTHER PARTNERS (ONE PER LINE)

PROJECT TYPE

- ☐ Residency
- ☐ Pre-baccalaureate
- ☐ Both Residency and Pre-baccalaureate

List of Programs

On this page, review the list of teacher preparation programs offered by your institution of higher education (IHE) or organization. If you submitted an IPRC last year, this list of programs is pre-loaded from your prior year’s report. If your IHE offers both traditional and alternative programs, be sure to enter the programs in the appropriate reports. For the traditional report, list all traditional programs within the IHE. For the alternative report, list all alternative programs within the IHE. You may edit, delete, and insert new rows as necessary.

After reviewing and updating as necessary, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page. The system will automatically total the number of programs for you.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> [Program Information](#)

Program Information

List each teacher preparation program included in your traditional route. Indicate if your program or programs participate in a Teacher Quality Partnership Grant awarded by the U.S. Department of Education as described at <https://www2.ed.gov/programs/tqpartnership/awards.html>.

Teacher Preparation Programs	Teacher Quality Partnership Grant Member?	Update
Elementary Education	No	
Elementary Education/Mild Intervention K-6	No	
Mathematics Secondary Education	No	
Mild Intervention (P-12)	No	
Social Studies	No	
Visual Art Education	No	

Total number of teacher preparation programs: 6

Program Requirements

On this page, review and enter information about the program requirements for admission into the program, program completion, and supervised clinical experience. If you submitted an IPRC last year, much of this page is pre-loaded from your prior year's report. If your IHE offers both traditional and alternative programs, be sure to specify the requirements in the appropriate reports. For the traditional report, provide the requirements for traditional programs within the IHE. For the alternative report, provide the requirements for the alternative programs within the IHE.

After reviewing and updating as necessary, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

- >> [Admissions](#)
- >> [Undergraduate Requirements](#)
- >> [Postgraduate Requirements](#)
- >> [Supervised Clinical Experience](#)

Admissions

1. Indicate when students are formally admitted into your initial teacher certification program:

Junior year



If Other, please specify:

2. Does your initial teacher certification program conditionally admit students?

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

3. Provide a link to your website where additional information about admissions requirements can be found:

<http://catalogs.sf.edu/undergrad/academic-programs/education/>

4. Please provide any additional information about or exceptions to the admissions information provided above:

The unit completes a national Sex Offender Check on all applicants. Candidates are ready for admission to teacher education once they have completed EDUC 108, EDUC 105, EDUC 207, and SPED 137 with a C- or higher, have a cumulative GPA of 2.8 and passed CASA or the alternate methods to demonstrate Basic Skills. Candidates' final field evaluations from EDUC 207, which are completed by the cooperating teacher and the university supervisor, must be successfully passed. Candidates also have an Assessment of Professional Behaviors completed on them by their cooperating teacher. One Professional Development activity and 10 hours of service engagement are also required for admission to teacher education. Beginning Fall 2016 candidates for admission to Teacher Education are required to have a 2.8 cumulative GPA.

Undergraduate Requirements

Please provide the following information about your teacher preparation program's entry and exit requirements. [\(\\$205\(a\)\(1\)\(C\)\(i\)\)](#)

1. Are there initial teacher certification programs at the undergraduate level?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

If yes, for each element listed below, indicate if it is required for admission into or exit from any of your teacher preparation program(s) at the

undergraduate level. If no, leave the rest of the page blank (or [clear responses already entered](#)) then click save at the bottom of the page.

Element	Required for Entry	Required for Exit
Transcript	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Fingerprint check	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Background check	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
Minimum number of courses/credits/semester hours completed	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
Minimum GPA	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
Minimum GPA in content area coursework	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
Minimum GPA in professional education coursework	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No
Minimum ACT score	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Minimum SAT score	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Minimum basic skills test score	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Subject area/academic content test or other subject matter verification	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Recommendation(s)	<input checked="" type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Essay or personal statement	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Interview	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Other Specify: <div></div>	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No

2. What is the minimum GPA required for admission into the program? (Leave blank if you indicated that a minimum GPA is not required in the table above.)

2.8

3. What was the median GPA of individuals accepted into the program in academic year 2016-17?

3.47

4. What is the minimum GPA required for completing the program? (Leave blank if you indicated that a minimum GPA is not required in the table above.)

2.8

5. What was the median GPA of individuals completing the program in academic year 2016-17?

3.56

6. Please provide any additional information about the information provided above:

The State of Indiana has alternative ways to demonstrate Basic Skills besides taking and passing CASA. These include: 24 ACT, 1100 SAT, 301 GRE, or Prior Master's Degree. Beginning Sept. 1, 2013 the State of Indiana requires Pearson CASA Reading, Math, and Writing Tests for admission to teacher education programs. Criminal background checks are required for all Practicums and Student Teaching.

Postgraduate Requirements

Please provide the following information about your teacher preparation program's entry and exit requirements. [\(\\$205\(a\)\(1\)\(C\)\(i\)\)](#)

1. Are there initial teacher certification programs at the postgraduate level?

☐ Yes

☒ No

If yes, for each element listed below, indicate if it is required for admission into or exit from any of your teacher preparation program(s) at the postgraduate level. If no, leave the rest of the page blank (or [clear responses already entered](#)) then click save at the bottom of the page.

Element	Required for Entry	Required for Exit
Transcript	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Fingerprint check	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Background check	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Minimum number of courses/credits/semester hours completed	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Minimum GPA	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Minimum GPA in content area coursework	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Minimum GPA in professional education coursework	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Minimum ACT score	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Minimum SAT score	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Minimum basic skills test score	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Subject area/academic content test or other subject matter verification	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Recommendation(s)	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Essay or personal statement	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Interview	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input checked="" type="radio"/> No
Other Specify: <div></div>	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No	<input type="radio"/> Yes <input type="radio"/> No

2. What is the minimum GPA required for admission into the program? (Leave blank if you indicated that a minimum GPA is not required in the table above.)

3. What was the median GPA of individuals accepted into the program in academic year 2016-17?

4. What is the minimum GPA required for completing the program? (Leave blank if you indicated that a minimum GPA is not required in the table above.)

5. What was the median GPA of individuals completing the program in academic year 2016-17?

6. Please provide any additional information about the information provided above:

Supervised Clinical Experience

Provide the following information about supervised clinical experience in 2016-17. ([§205\(a\)\(1\)\(C\)\(iii\)](#), [§205\(a\)\(1\)\(C\)\(iv\)](#))

[Additional guidance on reporting supervised clinical experience and nonclinical coursework.](#)

Average number of clock hours of supervised clinical experience required prior to student teaching	340
Average number of clock hours required for student teaching	640
Average number of clock hours required for mentoring/induction support	0
Number of full-time equivalent faculty supervising clinical experience during this academic year	4
Number of adjunct faculty supervising clinical experience during this academic year (IHE and PreK-12 staff)	126
Number of students in supervised clinical experience during this academic year	143

Please provide any additional information about or descriptions of the supervised clinical experiences:

These numbers include both practicum and student teachers. There were 8 Student Teachers and 7 of them completed two placements with one cooperating teacher and one university supervisor in each placement. Some of the practicum numbers may be duplicated students. For example, a student could be in practicum in the fall and student teaching in the spring so they would be listed 2 times. Most of the students (except Art Ed) are in dual licensure programs so they have two student teaching placements -One in Content and one in Special Education so they would have two cooperating teachers and two university supervisors during student teaching. There 4 different FT faculty who supervised 11 different student clinical experiences. Not sure if the number of FTE above should be 4 or 11?

Enrollment

On this page, enter the number of candidates for an initial teaching credential who are enrolled in the initial teacher preparation programs within your institution of higher education (IHE) or organization. **Do not** report on the total number of students enrolled in the entire IHE. **Do not** include individuals who currently hold a teaching credential and are seeking additional licenses or endorsements, or individuals preparing for school-based careers other than classroom teachers (e.g., administrators, guidance counselors).

The Department recognizes that in many cases, candidates voluntarily report their race/ethnicity and gender data, and that in some cases, candidates may choose not to report this information. Please report on the race/ethnicity data you have available, though the data may not be complete. It is not expected that the sum of the enrolled students reported by race/ethnicity or by gender will necessarily equal the total number of students enrolled.

If your IHE offers both traditional and alternative programs, be sure to enter the candidates enrolled in the appropriate reports. For the traditional report, provide only the candidates enrolled in traditional programs within the IHE. For the alternative report, provide only the candidates enrolled in the alternative programs within the IHE.

After entering the enrollment data, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> [Enrollment](#)

Enrollment

For the purpose of Title II reporting, an enrolled student is defined as a student who has been admitted to a teacher preparation program, but who has not completed the program during the academic year being reported. An individual who completed the program during the academic year being reported is counted as a program completer and *not* an enrolled student.

[Additional guidance on reporting race and ethnicity data.](#)

Total number of students enrolled in 2016-17	35
Unduplicated number of males enrolled in 2016-17	9
Unduplicated number of females enrolled in 2016-17	26

Provide the number of students in the teacher preparation program in the following categories. Note that you must report on the number of students by ethnicity and race separately. Individuals who are non-Hispanic/Latino will be reported in one of the race categories. Also note that individuals can belong to one or more racial groups, so the sum of the members of each racial category may not necessarily add up to the total number of students enrolled. [\(§205\(a\)\(1\)\(C\)\(ii\)\(H\)\)](#)

2016-17	Number Enrolled
Ethnicity	
Hispanic/Latino of any race	4
Race	

2016-17	Number Enrolled
American Indian or Alaska Native	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Asian	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Black or African American	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	<input type="text" value="1"/>
White	<input type="text" value="31"/>
Two or more races	<input type="text" value="0"/>

On this page, enter the number of program completers by the subject area in which they were prepared to teach, and by their academic majors. Note that an individual can be counted in more than one academic major and subject area. For example, if an individual is prepared to teach Elementary Education and Mathematics, that individual should be counted in both subject areas. If no individuals were prepared in a particular academic major or subject area, you may leave the cell blank. Please use the "Other" category sparingly, if there is no similar subject area or academic major listed. In these cases, you should use the text box to describe the subject area(s) and/or the academic major(s) counted in the "Other" category.

If your IHE offers both traditional and alternative programs, be sure to enter the program completers in the appropriate reports. For the traditional report, provide only the program completers in traditional programs within the IHE. For the alternative report, provide only the program completers for the alternative programs within the IHE.

After entering the teachers prepared data, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

- >> [Teachers Prepared by Subject Area](#)
- >> [Teachers Prepared by Academic Major](#)

Teachers Prepared by Subject Area

Please provide the number of teachers prepared by subject area for academic year 2016-17. For the purposes of this section, number prepared means the number of program completers. "Subject area" refers to the subject area(s) an individual has been prepared to teach. An individual can be counted in more than one subject area. If no individuals were prepared in a particular subject area, please leave that cell blank. [\(\\$205\(b\)\(1\)\(H\)\)](#)

[Additional guidance on reporting teachers prepared by subject area.](#)

What are CIP Codes?

☐ No teachers prepared in academic year 2016-17

CIP Code	Subject Area	Number Prepared
13.01	Education - General	<input type="text"/>
13.10	Teacher Education - Special Education	<input type="text" value="7"/>
13.1210	Teacher Education - Early Childhood Education	<input type="text"/>
13.1202	Teacher Education - Elementary Education	<input type="text" value="6"/>
13.1203	Teacher Education - Junior High/Intermediate/Middle School Education	<input type="text"/>
13.1205	Teacher Education - Secondary Education	<input type="text" value="1"/>
13.1206	Teacher Education - Multiple Levels	<input type="text"/>

CIP Code	Subject Area	Number Prepared
13.1301	Teacher Education - Agriculture	
13.1302	Teacher Education - Art	
13.1303	Teacher Education - Business	
13.1305	Teacher Education - English/Language Arts	
13.1306	Teacher Education - Foreign Language	
13.1307	Teacher Education - Health	
13.1308	Teacher Education - Family and Consumer Sciences/Home Economics	
13.1309	Teacher Education - Technology Teacher Education/Industrial Arts	
13.1311	Teacher Education - Mathematics	
13.1312	Teacher Education - Music	
13.1314	Teacher Education - Physical Education and Coaching	
13.1315	Teacher Education - Reading	
13.1316	Teacher Education - Science Teacher Education/General Science	
13.1317	Teacher Education - Social Science	
13.1318	Teacher Education - Social Studies	
13.1319	Teacher Education - Technical Education	
13.1321	Teacher Education - Computer Science	
13.1322	Teacher Education - Biology	1
13.1323	Teacher Education - Chemistry	
13.1324	Teacher Education - Drama and Dance	
13.1325	Teacher Education - French	
13.1326	Teacher Education - German	
13.1328	Teacher Education - History	
13.1329	Teacher Education - Physics	
13.1330	Teacher Education - Spanish	

CIP Code	Subject Area	Number Prepared
13.1331	Teacher Education - Speech	<input type="text"/>
13.1332	Teacher Education - Geography	<input type="text"/>
13.1333	Teacher Education - Latin	<input type="text"/>
13.1335	Teacher Education - Psychology	<input type="text"/>
13.1337	Teacher Education - Earth Science	<input type="text"/>
13.14	Teacher Education - English as a Second Language	<input type="text"/>
13.02	Teacher Education - Bilingual, Multilingual, and Multicultural Education	<input type="text"/>
13.99	Education - Other Specify: <input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Teachers Prepared by Academic Major

Please provide the number of teachers prepared by academic major for academic year 2016-17. For the purposes of this section, number prepared means the number of program completers. "Academic major" refers to the actual major(s) declared by the program completer. An individual can be counted in more than one academic major. If no individuals were prepared in a particular academic major, please leave that cell blank. ([§205\(b\)\(1\)\(H\)](#))

Please note that the list of majors includes several "Teacher Education" majors, as well as several noneducation majors. Please use care in entering your majors to ensure education-specific majors and non-education majors are counted correctly. For example, if an individual majored in Chemistry, that individual should be counted in the "Chemistry" academic major category rather than the "Teacher Education–Chemistry" category.

[Additional guidance on reporting teachers prepared by academic major.](#)

What are CIP Codes?

☐ No teachers prepared in academic year 2016-17

CIP Code	Academic Major	Number Prepared
13.01	Education - General	<input type="text"/>
13.10	Teacher Education - Special Education	3 <input type="text"/>
13.1210	Teacher Education - Early Childhood Education	<input type="text"/>
13.1202	Teacher Education - Elementary Education	4 <input type="text"/>
13.1203	Teacher Education - Junior High/Intermediate/Middle School Education	<input type="text"/>
13.1205	Teacher Education - Secondary Education	<input type="text"/>
13.1301	Teacher Education - Agriculture	<input type="text"/>

CIP Code	Academic Major	Number Prepared
13.1302	Teacher Education - Art	<div>0</div>
13.1303	Teacher Education - Business	<div></div>
13.1305	Teacher Education - English/Language Arts	<div></div>
13.1306	Teacher Education - Foreign Language	<div></div>
13.1307	Teacher Education - Health	<div></div>
13.1308	Teacher Education - Family and Consumer Sciences/Home Economics	<div></div>
13.1309	Teacher Education - Technology Teacher Education/Industrial Arts	<div></div>
13.1311	Teacher Education - Mathematics	<div></div>
13.1312	Teacher Education - Music	<div></div>
13.1314	Teacher Education - Physical Education and Coaching	<div></div>
13.1315	Teacher Education - Reading	<div></div>
13.1316	Teacher Education - Science	<div></div>
13.1317	Teacher Education - Social Science	<div></div>
13.1318	Teacher Education - Social Studies	<div></div>
13.1319	Teacher Education - Technical Education	<div></div>
13.1321	Teacher Education - Computer Science	<div></div>
13.1322	Teacher Education - Biology	<div>1</div>
13.1323	Teacher Education - Chemistry	<div></div>
13.1324	Teacher Education - Drama and Dance	<div></div>
13.1325	Teacher Education - French	<div></div>
13.1326	Teacher Education - German	<div></div>
13.1328	Teacher Education - History	<div></div>
13.1329	Teacher Education - Physics	<div></div>
13.1330	Teacher Education - Spanish	<div></div>
13.1331	Teacher Education - Speech	<div></div>

CIP Code	Academic Major	Number Prepared
13.1332	Teacher Education - Geography	<input type="text"/>
13.1333	Teacher Education - Latin	<input type="text"/>
13.1335	Teacher Education - Psychology	<input type="text"/>
13.1337	Teacher Education - Earth Science	<input type="text"/>
13.14	Teacher Education - English as a Second Language	<input type="text"/>
13.02	Teacher Education - Bilingual, Multilingual, and Multicultural Education	<input type="text"/>
13.03	Education - Curriculum and Instruction	<input type="text"/>
13.09	Education - Social and Philosophical Foundations of Education	<input type="text"/>
24	Liberal Arts/Humanities	<input type="text"/>
42	Psychology	<input type="text"/>
45.01	Social Sciences	<input type="text"/>
45.02	Anthropology	<input type="text"/>
45.06	Economics	<input type="text"/>
45.07	Geography and Cartography	<input type="text"/>
45.10	Political Science and Government	<input type="text"/>
45.11	Sociology	<input type="text"/>
50	Visual and Performing Arts	<input type="text"/>
54	History	<input type="text"/>
16	Foreign Languages	<input type="text"/>
19	Family and Consumer Sciences/Human Sciences	<input type="text"/>
23	English Language/Literature	<input type="text"/>
38	Philosophy and Religious Studies	<input type="text"/>
01	Agriculture	<input type="text"/>
09	Communication or Journalism	<input type="text"/>
14	Engineering	<input type="text"/>

CIP Code	Academic Major	Number Prepared
26	Biology	<input type="text"/>
27	Mathematics and Statistics	<input type="text"/>
40.01	Physical Sciences	<input type="text"/>
40.02	Astronomy and Astrophysics	<input type="text"/>
40.04	Atmospheric Sciences and Meteorology	<input type="text"/>
40.05	Chemistry	<input type="text"/>
40.06	Geological and Earth Sciences/Geosciences	<input type="text"/>
40.08	Physics	<input type="text"/>
52	Business/Business Administration/Accounting	<input type="text"/>
11	Computer and Information Sciences	<input type="text"/>
99	Other Specify: <input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

On this page, enter the total number of individuals who completed the program in AY 2016-17 and the two prior academic years. If you submitted an IPRC last year, the number of program completers for the two prior academic years are pre-loaded from your prior year's report.

A program completer is a person who has met all the requirements of a state-approved teacher preparation program. Program completers include all those who are documented as having met such requirements. Documentation may take the form of a degree, institutional certificate, program credential, transcript or other written proof of having met the program's requirements. In applying this definition, the fact that an individual has or has not been recommended to the state for initial certification or licensure may not be used as a criterion for determining who is a program completer.

An individual cannot be classified as both enrolled and as a program completer at the same time. An enrolled individual is not a program completer. Once an individual has met all the requirements of a state-approved teacher preparation program and becomes a program completer, the individual is no longer classified as enrolled.

After entering the program completers, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> [Program Completers](#)

Program Completers

Provide the total number of teacher preparation program completers in each of the following academic years.

2016-17	<div>8</div>
2015-16	<div>9</div>
2014-15	<div>16</div>

Annual Goals

On this page, review the annual goals in each subject area listed below. If you submitted an IPRC last year, the goals you entered last year are pre-loaded from your prior year's report. Please respond to the questions to report on progress towards the goals, and set new goals for the next academic year.

After reviewing and updating as necessary, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

- >> [Annual Goals - Mathematics](#)
- >> [Annual Goals - Science](#)
- >> [Annual Goals - Special Education](#)
- >> [Annual Goals - Instruction of Limited English Proficient Students](#)
- >> [Assurances](#)

Annual Goals - Mathematics

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route to state credential program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. [\(\\$205\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(ii\). \\$206\(a\)\)](#)

Information about teacher shortage areas can be found at <https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/oep/pol/tsa.html>.

Please provide the information below about your program's goals to increase the number of prospective teachers in mathematics in each of three academic years.

Academic year 2016-17

1. Did your program prepare teachers in mathematics in 2016-17?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

2. How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in mathematics in 2016-17?

0

3. Did your program meet the goal for prospective teachers set in mathematics in 2016-17?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Not applicable

4. Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

N/A

5. Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

6. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

As of December 2015, the secondary education program in mathematics was deactivated. There is one secondary education mathematics candidate enrolled will be "taught out" at the university but no new secondary education majors will be allowed to enroll. Another Mathematics secondary education

candidate will graduate in 2016-17 year.

Academic year 2017-18

7. Is your program preparing teachers in mathematics in 2017-18?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

8. How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in mathematics in 2017-18?

0

9. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

As of December 2015, the secondary education program in mathematics was deactivated. The one secondary education mathematics candidate enrolled will be "taught out" at the university but no new secondary education majors will be allowed to enroll.

Academic year 2018-19

10. Will your program prepare teachers in mathematics in 2018-19?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

11. How many prospective teachers does your program plan to add in mathematics in 2018-19?

0

12. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

As of December 2015, the secondary education program in mathematics was deactivated. The one secondary education mathematics candidate enrolled will be "taught out" at the university but no new secondary education majors will be allowed to enroll.

Annual Goals - Science

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route to state credential program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. ([§205\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(ii\)](#), [§206\(a\)](#))

Information about teacher shortage areas can be found at <https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/oep/pol/tsa.html>.

Please provide the information below about your program's goals to increase the number of prospective teachers in science in each of three academic years.

Academic year 2016-17

1. Did your program prepare teachers in science in 2016-17?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

2. How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in science in 2016-17?

0

3. Did your program meet the goal for prospective teachers set in science in 2016-17?

- ☒ Yes
☐ No
☐ Not applicable

4. Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

5. Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

6. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

As of December 2015, the secondary education programs in Life Science and Chemistry were deactivated. The one secondary education life science candidate will be "taught out" at the university, thereby accommodating all current science education majors through to their graduation in 2017.

Academic year 2017-18

7. Is your program preparing teachers in science in 2017-18?

- ☐ Yes
☒ No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

8. How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in science in 2017-18?

9. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Academic year 2018-19

10. Will your program prepare teachers in science in 2018-19?

- ☐ Yes
☒ No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

11. How many prospective teachers does your program plan to add in science in 2018-19?

12. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Annual Goals - Special Education

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route to state credential program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. ([§205\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(ii\)](#), [§206\(a\)](#))

Information about teacher shortage areas can be found at <https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/oep/pol/tsa.html>.

Please provide the information below about your program's goals to increase the number of prospective teachers in special education in each of three academic years.

Academic year 2016-17

1. Did your program prepare teachers in special education in 2016-17?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

2. How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in special education in 2016-17?

10

3. Did your program meet the goal for prospective teachers set in special education in 2016-17?

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No
- ☐ Not applicable

4. Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

5. Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

6. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

The department received its CAEP accreditation and SPA approval in 2017. In reviewing its programs through the CAEP and SPA reporting, the special education candidate recruitment interventions will be implemented incrementally.

Academic year 2017-18

7. Is your program preparing teachers in special education in 2017-18?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

8. How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in special education in 2017-18?

10

9. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Academic year 2018-19

10. Will your program prepare teachers in special education in 2018-19?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

11. How many prospective teachers does your program plan to add in special education in 2018-19?

10

12. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Annual Goals - Instruction of Limited English Proficient Students

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route to state credential program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. ([§205\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(ii\)](#), [§206\(a\)](#))

Information about teacher shortage areas can be found at <https://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/oep/pol/tsa.html>.

Please provide the information below about your program's goals to increase the number of prospective teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in each of three academic years.

Academic year 2016-17

1. Did your program prepare teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2016-17?

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

2. How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2016-17?

3. Did your program meet the goal for prospective teachers set in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2016-17?

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☒ Not applicable

4. Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

5. Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

6. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

The program's focus on special education has proved to require resources that precludes recruitment of teachers of limited English proficient students.

Academic year 2017-18

7. Is your program preparing teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2017-18?

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

8. How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2017-18?

9. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Academic year 2018-19

10. Will your program prepare teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2018-19?

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No (leave remaining questions for year blank)

11. How many prospective teachers does your program plan to add in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2018-19?

12. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Assurances

Please certify that your institution is in compliance with the following assurances. ([§205\(a\)\(1\)\(A\)\(iii\)](#), [§206\(b\)](#)) Note: Be prepared to provide documentation and evidence for your responses, when requested, to support the following assurances.

1. Preparation responds to the identified needs of the local educational agencies or States where the program completers are likely to teach, based on past hiring and recruitment trends.

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

2. Preparation is closely linked with the needs of schools and the instructional decisions new teachers face in the classroom.

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

3. Prospective special education teachers are prepared in core academic subjects and to instruct in core academic subjects.

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Program does not prepare special education teachers

4. Prospective general education teachers are prepared to provide instruction to students with disabilities.

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

5. Prospective general education teachers are prepared to provide instruction to limited English proficient students.

☒ Yes
☐ No

6. Prospective general education teachers are prepared to provide instruction to students from low-income families.

☒ Yes
☐ No

7. Prospective teachers are prepared to effectively teach in urban and rural schools, as applicable.

☒ Yes
☐ No

8. Describe your institution's most successful strategies in meeting the assurances listed above:

This section details the department's successful strategies in meeting assurances noted above. A. The hallmark of the undergraduate teacher education program is early and sustained integration of university classroom theory with practical field experience. Pre-service teacher candidates continue to meet programs' substantial field experience requirements. Requirements for candidates in elementary education and special education range from approximately 300 to more than 400 field hours prior to student teaching. Field requirements ensure that candidates work with student and teacher populations in a broad array of schools, including parochial, high-need urban schools, schools located in suburban and rural settings, and charter schools. All candidates complete field experiences in the city's main school district, Fort Wayne Community Schools (FWCS). FWCS has a free-reduced lunch rate of approximately 80%, with a highly diverse student population in regard to race, religion, ethnicity, and language of origin. B. While self-contained special education classrooms continue to function in a number of the region's schools, the co-teaching model of inclusion increasingly has become the norm in schools. As such, candidates in all subject disciplines, at all levels, must work collaboratively with teachers both in general and special education to serve the needs of students identified as having disabilities. C. Graduates in the department's traditional programs continue to receive offers for employment in their licensing areas at a rate of more than 95%. D. The TaskStream learning outcomes system the department employs, enables the director of field experiences and administrative assistant to track candidates' field experiences. The department's monitoring of candidates by means of the tracking system ensures that candidates work with student populations from a variety of ethnic, racial, socio-economic, and linguistic backgrounds. TaskStream documents candidates' diversity of placement sites in accordance with P-12 students' race, ethnicity, socio-economic status, academic performance, and gender. Also, Taskstream tracks field placements which include geographic distinctions, such as urban, suburban and private / parochial school settings. E. Teacher candidates complete a 16 week clinical internship. For the majority of candidates who seek licensure in elementary and special education, student teaching consists of two, eight-week sessions. One placement occurs in an elementary education general education classroom. The second placement occurs in a mild intervention exceptional needs classroom. In special education, field experiences may range from one or a combination of several special education service delivery models: full inclusion with co-teaching, fully self-contained special education, or limited pull-out for special services. F. The EPP received its national accreditation from the Council for the Accreditation for Educator Preparation in Fall 2017. SPAs for both elementary and special education programs have also been approved.

On this page, review the assessment pass rates. Please note that this page does not have an edit feature as the pass rates have already been through several rounds of verification. If you identify an error, please contact Westat's Title II Support Center and your testing company representative.

After reviewing, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> [Assessment Pass Rates](#)

Assessment Pass Rates

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
5033 -ELEM ED MULTI SUBJ MATHEMATICS (CD) Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2014-15	1			
5032 -ELEM ED MULTI SUBJ READINGLANG ARTS (CD) Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2014-15	1			
5035 -ELEM ED MULTI SUBJ SCIENCES (CD) Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2014-15	1			
5034 -ELEM ED MULTI SUBJ SOCIAL STUDIES (CD) Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2014-15	1			
005 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			
005 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	5			
005 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	6			
005 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15	7			
060 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION GENERALIST SUBTEST 1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	5			
060 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION GENERALIST SUBTEST 1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	7			

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
060 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION GENERALIST SUBTEST 1 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15	7			
061 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION GENERALIST SUBTEST 2 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	5			
061 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION GENERALIST SUBTEST 2 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	7			
061 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION GENERALIST SUBTEST 2 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15	6			
062 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION GENERALIST SUBTEST 3 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	5			
062 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION GENERALIST SUBTEST 3 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	6			
062 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION GENERALIST SUBTEST 3 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15	6			
063 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION GENERALIST SUBTEST 4 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	3			
063 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION GENERALIST SUBTEST 4 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	6			
063 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION GENERALIST SUBTEST 4 Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15	6			
021 -ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	1			
021 -ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15	1			
025 -EXCEPTIONAL NEEDS—MILD INTERVENTION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	5			
025 -EXCEPTIONAL NEEDS—MILD INTERVENTION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	4			
025 -EXCEPTIONAL NEEDS—MILD INTERVENTION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15	6			

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
064 -EXCEPTIONAL NEEDS—MILD INTERVENTION: READING INST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	2			
064 -EXCEPTIONAL NEEDS—MILD INTERVENTION: READING INST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15	2			
030 -FINE ARTS—VISUAL ARTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	1			
030 -FINE ARTS—VISUAL ARTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15	2			
007 -P–12 EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	2			
007 -P–12 EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	1			
007 -P–12 EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15	2			
045 -SCIENCE—LIFE SCIENCE Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	1			
5543 -SE CK AND MILD TO MODERATE APPL (CD) Educational Testing Service (ETS) All program completers, 2014-15	2			
006 -SECONDARY EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			
006 -SECONDARY EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	1			
006 -SECONDARY EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2015-16	1			
006 -SECONDARY EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15	3			
050 -SOCIAL STUDIES—GOVERNMENT AND CITIZENSHIP Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15	1			
051 -SOCIAL STUDIES—HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVES Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15	2			

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
052 -SOCIAL STUDIES—PSYCHOLOGY Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2014-15	1			

Summary Pass Rates

On this page, review the summary pass rates. Please note that this page does not have an edit feature as the pass rates have already been through several rounds of verification. If you identify an error, please contact Westat's Title II Support Center and your testing company representative.

After reviewing, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> [Summary Pass Rates](#)

Summary Pass Rates

Group	Number taking tests	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
All program completers, 2016-17	8		
All program completers, 2015-16	9		
All program completers, 2014-15	13	12	92

Low-Performing

On this page, review the questions regarding your program's approval/accreditation and whether your program has been designated as low performing by the state. If you submitted an IPRC last year, this section is pre-loaded from your prior year's report; please review and update as necessary.

After reviewing and updating as necessary, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> [Low-Performing](#)

Low-Performing

Provide the following information about the approval or accreditation of your teacher preparation program. [\(\\$205\(a\)\(1\)\(D\), \\$205\(a\)\(1\)\(E\)\)](#)

1. Is your teacher preparation program currently approved or accredited?

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

If yes, please specify the organization(s) that approved or accredited your program:

- ☒ State
- ☐ NCATE
- ☐ TEAC
- ☒ CAEP
- ☐ Other specify:

2. Is your teacher preparation program currently under a designation as "low-performing" by the state (as per section 207(a) of the HEA of 2008)?

- ☐ Yes
- ☒ No

On this page, review the questions regarding your program's use of technology. If you submitted an IPRC last year, this section is pre-loaded from your prior year's report; please review and update as necessary.

After reviewing and updating as necessary, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> [Use of Technology](#)

Use of Technology

1. Provide the following information about the use of technology in your teacher preparation program. Please note that choosing 'yes' indicates that your teacher preparation program would be able to provide evidence upon request. [\(§205\(a\)\(1\)\(F\)\)](#)

Does your program prepare teachers to:

a. integrate technology effectively into curricula and instruction

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

b. use technology effectively to collect data to improve teaching and learning

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

c. use technology effectively to manage data to improve teaching and learning

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

d. use technology effectively to analyze data to improve teaching and learning

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No

2. Provide a description of the evidence that your program uses to show that it prepares teachers to integrate technology effectively into curricula and instruction, and to use technology effectively to collect, manage, and analyze data in order to improve teaching and learning for the purpose of increasing student academic achievement. Include a description of the evidence your program uses to show that it prepares teachers to use the principles of universal design for learning, as applicable. Include planning activities and a timeline if any of the four elements listed above are not currently in place.

In field courses where candidates' performance is evaluated, key assessments included criteria to measure the candidates' understanding and application of technology integration. In two courses, all candidates in elementary education, special education, and P-12 Art incorporate technology into the planning and implementation phases of lessons. In SPED 137-Foundations in Exceptionalities candidates create webquests using Google Sites. In EDUC 304-Developing Effective Learning & Teaching Competencies, which focuses on Project-Based Learning, the emphasis of one unit is on integrating technology into planning and assessment. EDUC 304 is a field course, thereby providing opportunities for candidates to implement technology-driven lessons in P-12 classroom settings. Throughout the program, beginning in EDUC 304, candidates create a digital strategies notebook in which they can collect resources and documents that will assist them once they graduate. In addition to the aforementioned courses, several courses for elementary education and special education majors incorporate technology. In EDUC 303-Assessment Principles & practices, candidates specifically address technology based assessments. SPED 230-Content Interventions for Exceptional Learners II, candidates incorporate assistive technology to develop a literacy unit. In SPED 226-Content Interventions for Exceptional Learners I, candidates collaborate on case studies via Google Docs. In SPED 326-Methods & Transitions, candidates use research on assistive technology to address students' needs in content areas. Additionally, in SPED 326, candidates use Indiana's individualized education plan program to develop a mock IEP. SPED 420-Behavior Assessment & Analysis I, requires candidates to collect data and use technology to monitor and report students' academic and behavioral progress. EDUC 372-Integrated

Content, candidates research web-based materials and apps to support science and math standards. Educ 373-Integrated Content, Science, Math, Technology, candidates learn simple coding and how to implement it in science classes. Finally, in EDUC 498-Transitional Seminar III: Culminating critique, candidates use Edmodo for class discussions. In READ 200-Foundations of Reading Instruction, READ 302-Methods and Materials in Teaching Reading for ALL Children, and EDUC 372, elementary education majors use technology, such as SmartBoards and handhelds for Dibels as in K-6 classrooms. Also, EDUC 372, candidates take virtual field trips. All teacher education majors are required to collect and chart data using technology in their lesson plans. The rubric for the Learning Experience Map (LEM), which is the department's lesson planning format, includes criterion for utilizing technology as candidates plan lessons. Also, as part of formal lessons' post-assessment process, all teacher candidates are required to analyze data they collect in order to devise strategies to improve student performance. Instructors regularly integrate technology in their pedagogical approaches. Integration of technology serves as a model for candidates to use in developing their own pedagogical approaches. A variety of apps and websites are used throughout courses. Examples of such are Kahoot, Padlet, Quizlet, and Wordle. Finally, in addition to using Blackboard and TaskStream, instructors use Google Drive Tools to collect assignments, to answer questions and provide feedback on documents in real-time, and develop lessons in which candidates must collaborate on a single document to complete assignments. Using a new evaluation process in the Spring of 2018, candidates will be able to track their own growth through their preparation program. The tracking will include their proficiency in 5 Domains; 1. Learner and Learning Environments, 2. Planning, Instruction, and Assessment, 3. Content and Content Pedagogy Knowledge, 4. Professionalism, and 5. Mild Intervention. Finally, the EPP opened an Ed Lab in Fall 2017. The lab is equipped with iPads and Smart TVs to facilitate candidates practice and use of technology in their demonstrations, hooks, and projects.

On this page, review the questions about how your program trains general education teachers and special education teachers. For the purposes of these questions, general education teachers means those who are not specifically prepared as special education teachers. If you submitted an IPRC last year, this section is pre-loaded from your prior year’s report; please review and update as necessary.

After reviewing and updating as necessary, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

Teacher Training

Provide the following information about your teacher preparation program. Please note that choosing 'yes' indicates that your teacher preparation program would be able to provide evidence upon request. [\(§205\(a\)\(1\)\(G\)\)](#)

1. Does your program prepare general education teachers to:

a. teach students with disabilities effectively

☒ Yes

☐ No

b. participate as a member of individualized education program teams

☐ Yes

☒ No

c. teach students who are limited English proficient effectively

☐ Yes

☒ No

2. Provide a description of the evidence your program uses to show that it prepares general education teachers to teach students with disabilities effectively, including training related to participation as a member of individualized education program teams, as defined in section 614(d)(1)(B) of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, and to effectively teach students who are limited English proficient. Include planning activities and a timeline if any of the three elements listed above are not currently in place.

Specific preparation in IEP team participation is not a component of the elementary education program. However, some 80% of traditional undergraduate elementary education majors pursue licensure in special education. Special education courses include preparation in skills related to IEP team participation. All majors, including P-12 Art, take SPED 137, Foundations in Exceptionalities. The course text is titled: Teaching in the Inclusive Classroom: A Universal Design for Learning (UDL) Approach by Gargiulo & Metcalf. SPED 137 serves as an introduction of UDL and the principles. UDL strategies are threaded throughout the course. In EDUC 304-Developing Effective Learning & Teaching Competencies, all majors--elementary, special education, and P-12 Visual Art special address 3 UDL systems in a unit to ensure that content is accessible for all students. In EDUC 105-Foundational Theories for Learner Success, one of the first education courses, candidates present on the principles of UDL, as well as learn how and why UDL is an effective instructional model from an educational psychology viewpoint. Candidates gain knowledge and application of the Teacher-Based-Team process in EDUC 303: Assessment Principles and Practices. This entails disaggregation of student data to make instructional choices. In EDUC 303: Assessment Principles and Practices, candidates learn to disaggregate student performance data and prescribe interventions based on the student performance while practicing data team protocols. They also learn to create 'stretch' and modifications when creating classroom assessments. All elementary majors complete between 200 - 300 field hours in inclusive classroom settings prior to student teaching where they work with students with special needs. As evidence for proficiency in working with students with special need, elementary education majors develop lesson plans in major field courses that must include a component for Universal Design for Learning (UDL). The candidates' performance of proficiency in working with students with exceptional needs is measured by key assessments which include UDL criteria.

3. Does your program prepare special education teachers to:

a. teach students with disabilities effectively

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Program does not prepare special education teachers

b. participate as a member of individualized education program teams

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Program does not prepare special education teachers

c. teach students who are limited English proficient effectively

- ☒ Yes
- ☐ No
- ☐ Program does not prepare special education teachers

4. Provide a description of the evidence your program uses to show that it prepares special education teachers to teach students with disabilities effectively, including training related to participation as a member of individualized education program teams, as defined in section 614(d)(1)(B) of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, and to effectively teach students who are limited English proficient. Include planning activities and a timeline if any of the three elements listed above are not currently in place.

All candidates take SPED 137-Foundations in Exceptionalities course, which provides an overview of disabilities, diversity, legal issues, processes for developing and implementing Individualized Education Programs, co-teaching, assistive technology, and Universal Design for Learning. In SPED 230-Content Interventions for Exceptional Learners II, special education majors and address 3 UDL systems in a unit to ensure content is accessible for all students. In EDUC 372-Integrated Content, candidates research web-based and apps appropriate for the 3 UDL systems to support science and math. In SPED 326-Methods & Transitions, special education majors use Indiana's individualized education plan program to develop a mock IEP. In EDUC 303: Assessment Principles and Practices, candidates learn to disaggregate student performance data and prescribe interventions based on the student performance while practicing data team protocols. They also learn to create 'stretch' and modifications when creating classroom assessments. Candidates pursuing special education licenses are provided more in-depth study of topics covered in the introductory course in addition to coursework related to instructional methods, assessment, and behavior management. Prior to student teaching, candidates seeking a special education license spend 200+ hours in the special-education settings working with a variety of students with special needs. Since 80% of special education majors co-major in elementary education, they complete an additional 200+ field hours in inclusive elementary education settings. Thus, a significant majority of candidates participate in more than 400 field hours prior to student teaching. Special education teacher candidates participate in the range of special educator responsibilities, including development and revision of IEPs. Candidates address IEP mandates in lesson planning, implementation, and assessment. When permitted by all parties, candidates observe students' annual case conferences. Finally, special education candidates take part in professional development events both in schools and across school districts.

Contextual Information

On this page, review the contextual information about your program. If you submitted an IPRC last year, this section is pre-loaded from your prior year's report; please review and update as necessary.

After reviewing and updating as necessary, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> [Contextual Information](#)

Contextual Information

Please use this space to provide any additional information that describes your teacher preparation program(s). You may also attach information to this report card (see below). The U.S. Department of Education is especially interested in any evaluation plans or interim or final reports that may be available.

As noted earlier, in December 2015, all secondary education programs were officially deactivated. Therefore, the three traditional undergraduate licensure programs in the department of education are limited to elementary education, special education, and P-12 Visual Art Education. All extant secondary education programs will be taught out in order to allow currently enrolled candidates to complete their courses of study. The EPP received it national accreditation Fall 2017 from the Council for the Accreditation of Educator Preparation. It's undergraduate programs were SPA approved 2017. Finally, the Indiana Board of Education approved the EPP undergraduate programs in February 2018.

Supporting Files

Basic Skills -Alternative Admission Numbers by IHE Institution 2016-2017	
--	--

You may upload files to be included with your report card. You should only upload PDF or Microsoft Word or Excel files. These files will be listed as links in your report card. Upload files in the order that you'd like them to appear.

Report Card Certification

Please make sure your entire report card is complete and accurate before completing this section. Once your report card is certified you will not be able to edit your data.

Enrollment Confirmation

Total Title II enrollment from Section I: Program Information, Enrollment is **35**.

Number of program completers from Section I: Program Information, Program Completers is **8**.

For a total enrollment of **43**.

☐ I certify the total enrollment shown above is correct.

Certification of submission

☒ I certify that, to the best of my knowledge, the information in this report is accurate and complete and conforms to the definitions and instructions used in the *Higher Education Opportunity Act, Title II: Reporting Reference and User Manual*.

NAME OF RESPONSIBLE REPRESENTATIVE FOR TEACHER PREPARATION PROGRAM:

Mary Riepenhoff

TITLE:

Chair Department of Education

Certification of review of submission

☒ I certify that, to the best of my knowledge, the information in this report is accurate and complete and conforms to the definitions and instructions used in the *Higher Education Opportunity Act, Title II: Reporting Reference and User Manual*.

NAME OF REVIEWER:

Maureen McCon

TITLE:

Licensing Advisor /QAS Coordinator

Comparison with Last Year

Item	Last Year	This Year	Change
Total Enrollment	24	35	45.83%
Male Enrollment	5	9	80.00%
Female Enrollment	19	26	36.84%
Hispanic/Latino Enrollment	3	4	33.33%
American Indian or Alaska Native Enrollment	0	0	
Asian Enrollment	0	0	
Black or African American Enrollment	0	0	

Item	Last Year	This Year	Change
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Enrollment	1	1	0.00%
White Enrollment	22	31	40.91%
Two or more races Enrollment	0	0	
Average number of clock hours required prior to student teaching	340	340	0.00%
Average number of clock hours required for student teaching	640	640	0.00%
Average number of clock hours required for mentoring	0	0	
Number of full-time equivalent faculty in supervised clinical experience during this academic year	4	4	0.00%
Number of adjunct faculty in supervised clinical experience during this academic year (IHE and PreK-12 staff)	98	126	28.57%
Number of students in supervised clinical experience during this academic year	101	143	41.58%
Total completers for current academic year	9	8	-11.11%
Total completers for prior academic year	16	9	-43.75%
Total completers for second prior academic year	17	16	-5.88%