

2020 TITLE II REPORTS


National Teacher Preparation Data



Kelly

LAST NAME

University of Saint Francis Alternative, IHE-based Report AY 2018-19 Indiana



Institution Information
 Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary. Academic year IPEDS ID
IPEDS ID
152336
THIS INSTITUTION HAS NO IPEDS ID IF NO IPEDS ID, PLEASE PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION
ADDRESS
2701 Spring Street
СІТҮ
Fort Wayne
STATE
Indiana
ZIP
46808
SALUTATION
Ms. ▼
FIRST NAME

(260) 399-7700 x8415
EMAIL
krothgeb@sf.edu

Rothgeb

PHONE

SECTION I: PROGRAM INFORMATION

List of Programs

List each program for an initial teaching credential below and indicate whether it is offered at the Undergraduate level (UG), Institution Information Postgraduate level (PG), or both. (§205(a)(C))

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:			

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

• Teacher Preparation Program

List of Programs

CIP Code	Teacher Preparation Programs	UG, PG, or Both	Update
13.1203	Junior High/Intermediate/Middle School Education and Teaching	PG	
13.1	Special Education	Both	
13.1302	Teacher Education - Art	PG	

Total number of teacher preparation programs:

3

Program Requirements

Check the elements required for admission (entry) into and completion (exit) from the program. If programs are offered at the undergraduate level and postgraduate level, complete the table for both types of programs. (§205(a)(1)(C)(i))

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

- Full-time equivalent faculty supervising clinical experience
- Adjunct faculty supervising clinical experience
- Cooperating Teachers/PreK-12 Staff Supervising Clinical Experience
- Supervised clinical experience

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

- >> <u>Undergraduate Requirements</u>
- >> Postgraduate Requirements
- >> Supervised Clinical Experience

Undergraduate Requirements

- 1. Are there initial teacher certification programs at the undergraduate level?
 - Yes
 - No

If yes, for each element listed below, indicate if it is required for admission into or exit from any of your teacher preparation program(s) at the undergraduate level. If no, leave the table below blank (or <u>clear responses already entered</u>) then click save at the bottom of the page.

Element	Admission	Completion
Transcript	• Yes No	Yes No
Fingerprint check	• Yes No	• Yes No
Background check	Yes No	Yes No
Minimum number of courses/credits/semester hours completed	• Yes No	• Yes No
Minimum GPA	Yes No	Yes No
Minimum GPA in content area coursework	• Yes No	• Yes No
Minimum GPA in professional education coursework	Yes No	Yes No
Minimum ACT score	• Yes No	• Yes No
Minimum SAT score	Yes No	Yes No
Minimum basic skills test score	• Yes No	• Yes No
Subject area/academic content test or other subject matter verification	Yes No	Yes No
Recommendation(s)	Yes No	Yes No
Essay or personal statement	• Yes No	Yes No

	Element	Admission	Completion
	Interview	Yes No	Yes No
	Other Specify:	○ Yes ○ No	Yes No
	What is the minimum GPA required for admission into the program? (Leave blank if yabove.)	you indicated that a minimum GP	A is not required in the table
	2.8		
	What is the minimum GPA required for completing the program? (Leave blank if you above.)	indicated that a minimum GPA is	not required in the table
	2.8		
. F	Please provide any additional information about the information provided above:		

Postgraduate Requirements

1. Are there initial teacher certification programs at the postgraduate level?

• Yes No

If yes, for each element listed below, indicate if it is required for admission into or exit from any of your teacher preparation program(s) at the postgraduate level. If no, leave the table below blank (or <u>clear responses already entered</u>) then click save at the bottom of the page.

Element	Admission	Completion
Transcript	Yes No	Yes No
Fingerprint check	• Yes No	• Yes No
Background check	Yes No	• Yes No
Minimum number of courses/credits/semester hours completed	• Yes No	• Yes No
Minimum GPA	Yes No	• Yes No
Minimum GPA in content area coursework	Yes No	Yes No
Minimum GPA in professional education coursework	Yes No	Yes
Minimum ACT score	• Yes No	• Yes No
Minimum SAT score	Yes No	• Yes No
Minimum basic skills test score	• Yes No	• Yes No
Subject area/academic content test or other subject matter verification	Yes No	• Yes No
Recommendation(s)	Yes No	Yes No
Essay or personal statement	Yes No	Yes No

	Interview		Yes	No	Yes	No
	Other Specify:		Yes	No	Yes	No
	What is the minimum GPA required for admission into the program? (Leave above.)	blank if y	ou indicat	ted that a minimum GF	PA is not red	quired in the table
	2.75					
	What is the minimum GPA required for completing the program? (Leave blan above.)	nk if you	indicated	that a minimum GPA i	s not requir	ed in the table
	3					
4.	Please provide any additional information about the information provided a	bove:				
	upervised Clinical Experience					
	ovide the following information about supervised clinical experience in 201	8-19. <u>(§2</u>	<u>05(a)(1)(C)</u>)(iii), §205(a)(1)(C)(iv))		
Are	there programs with student teaching models?					
	Yes No					
ı	f yes, provide the next two responses. If no, leave them blank.					
Р	rograms with student teaching models (most traditional programs)					
	umber of clock hours of supervised clinical experience required prior student teaching	120				
N	umber of clock hours required for student teaching	640				
Are	there programs in which candidates are the teacher of record?					
	Yes No					
I	If yes, provide the next two responses. If no, leave them blank.					
Р	rograms in which candidates are the teacher of record in a classroom durir	ng the pr	ogram (ma	any alternative progra	ms)	
	umber of clock hours of supervised clinical experience required prior teaching as the teacher of record in a classroom	0				
	umber of years required for teaching as the teacher of record in a assroom	0				

Admission

Completion

Element

All Programs	
Number of full-time equivalent faculty supervising clinical experience during this academic year (IHE staff)	2
Optional tool for automatically calculating full-time equivalent faculty in the system	
Number of adjunct faculty supervising clinical experience during this academic year (IHE staff)	0
Number of cooperating teachers/K-12 staff supervising clinical experience during this academic year	28
Number of students in supervised clinical experience during this academic year	15

Please provide any additional information about or descriptions of the supervised clinical experiences:

These numbers provide both practicum and clinical internship placements. Our adjunct professors provide content knowledge during the clinical experiences. Faculty or a university supervisor evaluate candidates in both practicums and clinical internships, as well as the cooperating teachers.

Enrollment and Program Completers

In each of the following categories, provide the total number of individuals enrolled in teacher preparation programs for an initial teaching credential and the subset of individuals enrolled who also completed the program during the academic year.

(§205(a)(1)(C)(ii))

Key terms in	this section	are listed below	r. Click on the lin	k to view the	definition(s) in
the glossary.	•				

- Enrolled Student
- Program Completer

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> Enrollment and Program Completers

Enrollment	and	Program	Completers	•
-------------------	-----	----------------	------------	---

2018-19 Total	
Total Number of Individuals Enrolled	88
Subset of Program Completers	9

Gender	Total Enrolled	Subset of Program Completers
Male	29	3
Female	59	6
Non-Binary/Other	0	0
No Gender Reported	0	0
Race/Ethnicity	Total Enrolled	Subset of Program Completers
American Indian or Alaska Native	1	0
American Indian or Alaska Native Asian	1	0
Asian	1	0
Asian Black or African American	3	0

Race/Ethnicity	Total Enrolled	Subset of Program Completers
Two or more races	3	0
No Race/Ethnicity Reported	26	0

SECTION I: PROGRAM INFORMATION

Teachers Prepared

On this page, enter the number of program completers by the subject area in which they were prepared to teach, and by their academic majors. Note that an individual can be counted in more than one academic major and subject area. For example, if an individual is prepared to teach Elementary Education and Mathematics, that individual should be counted in both subject areas. If no individuals were prepared in a particular academic major or subject area, you may leave the cell blank. Please use the "Other" category sparingly, if there is no similar subject area or academic major listed. In these cases, you should use the text box to describe the subject area(s) and/or the academic major(s) counted in the "Other" category.

If your IHE offers both traditional and alternative programs, be sure to enter the program completers in the appropriate reports. For the traditional report, provide only the program completers in traditional programs within the IHE. For the alternative report, provide only the program completers for the alternative programs within the IHE.

After entering the teachers prepared data, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

Academic Major

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

- >> Teachers Prepared by Subject Area
- >> Teachers Prepared by Academic Major

Teachers Prepared by Subject Area

Please provide the number of teachers prepared by subject area for academic year 2018-19.

For the purposes of this section, number prepared means the number of program completers. "Subject area" refers to the subject area(s) an individual has been prepared to teach. An individual can be counted in more than one subject area. If no individuals were prepared in a particular subject area, please leave that cell blank. (§205(b)(1)(H))

What are CIP Codes?

No teachers prepared in academic year 2018-19

If your program has no teachers prepared, check the box above and leave the table below blank (or clear responses already entered).

What are CIP codes? The Classification of Instructional Programs (CIP) provides a taxonomic scheme that supports the accurate tracking and reporting of fields of study and program completions activity. CIP was originally developed by the U.S. Department of Education's National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) in 1980, with revisions occurring in 1985, 1990, and 2000 (https://nces.ed.gov/ipeds/cipcode/Default.aspx?y=55).

CIP Code	Subject Area	Number Prepared
13.10	Teacher Education - Special Education	2
13.1202	Teacher Education - Elementary Education	

CIP Code	Subject Area	Number Prepared
13.1203	Teacher Education - Junior High/Intermediate/Middle School Education	
13.1210	Teacher Education - Early Childhood Education	
13.1301	Teacher Education - Agriculture	
13.1302	Teacher Education - Art	1
13.1303	Teacher Education - Business	1
13.1305	Teacher Education - English/Language Arts	1
13.1306	Teacher Education - Foreign Language	
13.1307	Teacher Education - Health	2
13.1308	Teacher Education - Family and Consumer Sciences/Home Economics	
13.1309	Teacher Education - Technology Teacher Education/Industrial Arts	
13.1311	Teacher Education - Mathematics	
13.1312	Teacher Education - Music	
13.1314	Teacher Education - Physical Education and Coaching	1
13.1315	Teacher Education - Reading	
13.1316	Teacher Education - Science Teacher Education/General Science	
13.1317	Teacher Education - Social Science	
13.1318	Teacher Education - Social Studies	1
13.1320	Teacher Education - Trade and Industrial	
13.1321	Teacher Education - Computer Science	
13.1322	Teacher Education - Biology	
13.1323	Teacher Education - Chemistry	
13.1324	Teacher Education - Drama and Dance	
13.1328	Teacher Education - History	
13.1329	Teacher Education - Physics	
13.1331	Teacher Education - Speech	

CIP Code	Subject Area	Number Prepared
13.1337	Teacher Education - Earth Science	
13.14	Teacher Education - English as a Second Language	
13.99	Education - Other Specify:	

Teachers Prepared by Academic Major

Please provide the number of teachers prepared by academic major for academic year 2018-19. For the purposes of this section, number prepared means the number of program completers. "Academic major" refers to the actual major(s) declared by the program completer. An individual can be counted in more than one academic major. If no individuals were prepared in a particular academic major, please leave that cell blank. (§205(b)(1)(H))

Please note that the list of majors includes several "Teacher Education" majors, as well as several noneducation majors. Please use care in entering your majors to ensure education-specific majors and non-education majors are counted correctly. For example, if an individual majored in Chemistry, that individual should be counted in the "Chemistry" academic major category rather than the "Teacher Education—Chemistry" category.

What are CIP Codes?

Do	participants	earn a	degree	upon	completion	of the	program?

No Yes

No teachers prepared in academic year 2018-19

If your program does not grant participants a degree upon completion, or has no teachers prepared, leave the table below blank (or **clear responses already entered**).

CIP Code	Academic Major	Number Prepared
13.10	Teacher Education - Special Education	
13.1202	Teacher Education - Elementary Education	
13.1203	Teacher Education - Junior High/Intermediate/Middle School Education	
13.1210	Teacher Education - Early Childhood Education	
13.1301	Teacher Education - Agriculture	
13.1302	Teacher Education - Art	
13.1303	Teacher Education - Business	
13.1305	Teacher Education - English/Language Arts	
13.1306	Teacher Education - Foreign Language	
13.1307	Teacher Education - Health	

CIP Code	Academic Major	Number Prepared
13.1308	Teacher Education - Family and Consumer Sciences/Home Economics	
13.1309	Teacher Education - Technology Teacher Education/Industrial Arts	
13.1311	Teacher Education - Mathematics	
13.1312	Teacher Education - Music	
13.1314	Teacher Education - Physical Education and Coaching	
13.1315	Teacher Education - Reading	
13.1316	Teacher Education - General Science	
13.1317	Teacher Education - Social Science	
13.1318	Teacher Education - Social Studies	
13.1320	Teacher Education - Trade and Industrial	
13.1321	Teacher Education - Computer Science	
13.1322	Teacher Education - Biology	
13.1323	Teacher Education - Chemistry	
13.1324	Teacher Education - Drama and Dance	
13.1328	Teacher Education - History	
13.1329	Teacher Education - Physics	
13.1331	Teacher Education - Speech	
13.1337	Teacher Education - Earth Science	
13.14	Teacher Education - English as a Second Language	
13.99	Education - Other Specify:	
01	Agriculture	
03	Natural Resources and Conservation	
05	Area, Ethnic, Cultural, and Gender Studies	
09	Communication or Journalism	

CIP Code	Academic Major	Number Prepared
11	Computer and Information Sciences	
12	Personal and Culinary Services	
14	Engineering	
16	Foreign Languages, Literatures, and Linguistics	
19	Family and Consumer Sciences/Human Sciences	
21	Technology Education/Industrial Arts	
22	Legal Professions and Studies	
23	English Language/Literature	1
24	Liberal Arts/Humanities	
25	Library Science	
26	Biological and Biomedical Sciences	
27	Mathematics and Statistics	
30	Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies	
38	Philosophy and Religious Studies	
40	Physical Sciences	
41	Science Technologies/Technicians	
42	Psychology	
44	Public Administration and Social Service Professions	
45	Social Sciences	2
46	Construction	
47	Mechanic and Repair Technologies	
50	Visual and Performing Arts	1
51	Health Professions and Related Clinical Sciences	
52	Business/Management/Marketing	1
54	History	

CIP Code	Academic Major	Number Prepared
99	Other Specify:	

SECTION I: PROGRAM INFORMATION

Yes No

Program Assurances

Respond to the following assurances. Note: Teacher preparation programs should be prepared to provide documentation and evidence, when requested, to support the following assurances. (§205(a)(1)(A)(iii); §206(b))

PAGE	

>> Program Assurances

Program Assurances
1. Program preparation responds to the identified needs of the local educational agencies or States where the program completers are likely to teac based on past hiring and recruitment trends.
• Yes No
2. Preparation is closely linked with the needs of schools and the instructional decisions new teachers face in the classroom.
• Yes No
3. Prospective special education teachers are prepared in core academic subjects and to instruct in core academic subjects.
• Yes No
Program does not prepare special education teachers
4. Prospective general education teachers are prepared to provide instruction to students with disabilities.
• Yes No
5. Prospective general education teachers are prepared to provide instruction to limited English proficient students.
• Yes No
6. Prospective general education teachers are prepared to provide instruction to students from low-income families.
Yes No.
No No

8. Describe your institution's most successful strategies in meeting the assurances listed above:

7. Prospective teachers are prepared to effectively teach in urban and rural schools, as applicable.

A. In all graduate education programs, candidate's practicum and internship field experiences the placements' demographic data is tracked. Subsequently, the Director of Field Experiences reviews the individual candidate data before assigning future clinical internship (student teaching) placements. The monitoring of field placements with assures the department that candidates are completing field experiences in school settings with diverse populations and in diverse geographic locales. Field experiences for post-baccalaureate (graduate) initial licensing exceptional needs candidates consist of one hundred and twenty hours of university-supervised practicum. Practicum field experiences occur in settings that correspond to candidates' program of study. Candidates also complete 16 weeks of clinical internship (student teaching) in their program of study. B.Transition to Teaching: Visual Art All-Grade Beginning Fall 2015, the Transition to Teaching program in Art was approved to expand the licensure coverage from middle school and high school levels only to Pre-School through Grade 12 (P-12). The revised program will increase field experience placement settings from 2 to 3, and the field hours from 125 to 175 hours prior to student teaching. As capstone field experiences, visual art candidates complete

16 weeks of clinical internship (student teaching) in elementary, middle school or high school settings. The EPP tracks the candidates' field placements. Field placements are monitored to include geographic distinctions, such as urban, suburban and private / parochial school settings and school settings with students from diverse populations. The monitoring of field placements assures the department that candidates are completing field experiences in school settings with diverse populations and in diverse geographic locales. Field experiences for post-baccalaureate (graduate) initial licensing candidates consist of 120 hours of university-supervised practicum. Practicum field experiences occur in settings that correspond to candidates' program of study. Candidates also complete 16 weeks of clinical internship (student teaching) in their program of study, unless they are currently employed on an Emergency License through the corporation. If they are on an Emergency License and teaching while in the program, candidates submit a Prior Learning Assessment portfolio. C. Transition to Teaching: Middle and Secondary School The Transition to Teaching program was approved for middle school and high school levels for post-baccalaureate candidates seeking licensure in a middle or secondary content area such as mathematics or science. Each candidate's practicum field placement performance data are recorded. Subsequently, the Director of Field Experiences reviews the individual candidate data before assigning future clinical internship (student teaching) placements to assure diversity in placements. The monitoring of field placements assures the department that candidates are completing field experiences in school settings with diverse populations and in diverse geographic locales. Field experiences for post-baccalaureate (graduate) initial licensing candidates consist of 120 hours of universitysupervised practicum. Practicum field experiences occur in settings that correspond to candidates' program of study. Candidates also complete 16 weeks of clinical internship (student teaching) in their program of study, unless they are currently employed on an Emergency License through the corporation. If they are on an Emergency License and teaching while in the program, candidates submit a Prior Learning Assessment portfolio. D. Transition to Teaching: Special Education Mild and Intense Intervention The Transition to Teaching program was approved for P-12 mild and intense intervention licensure. Each candidate's practicum field placement performance data are recorded. Subsequently, the Director of Field Experiences reviews the individual candidate data before assigning future clinical internship (student teaching) placements to assure diversity in placements. The monitoring of field placements assures the department that candidates are completing field experiences in school settings with diverse populations and in diverse geographic locales. Field experiences for post-baccalaureate (graduate) initial licensing candidates consist of 120 hours of universitysupervised practicum. Practicum field experiences occur in settings that correspond to candidates' program of study. Candidates also complete 16 weeks of clinical internship (student teaching) in their program of study, unless they are currently employed on an Emergency License through the corporation. If they are on an Emergency License and teaching while in the program, candidates submit a Prior Learning Assessment portfolio. The current Prior Learning Assessment is under revision so that candidates may demonstrate that they have acquired the knowledge and skills to engage in effective teaching so that students are engaged in effective learning.

Annual Goals: Mathematics

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route teacher preparation program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students.

(§205(a)(1) (A)(i), §205(a)(1)(A)(ii), §206(a))

Please note that the goals you set last year are not pre-loaded into your report because the Department's changes to wording of the questions in this section make them incompatible for pre-loading from last year's data. Next year, your goals will be pre-loaded into your report based on the goals you enter this year.

In order to complete this section, you may find it helpful to review your goals in your 2019 report. You may download and review your 2019 report by following the following steps: 1) click the Home tab, 2) click "Academic Year 2018-19 Data" in the banner near the top of the page to expand the dropdown, and select "Academic Year 2017-18 Data," 3) Click Download Report.

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

• Quantifiable Goals

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

- >> Report Progress on Last Year's Goal (2018-19)
- >> Review Current Year's Goal (2019-20)
- >> Set Next Year's Goal (2020-21)

Report Progress on Last Year's Goal (2018-19)

1. Did your program prepare teachers in mathematics in 2018-19?

If no, leave remaining questions for 2018-19 blank (or clear responses already entered).

Yes

No

2. Describe your goal.

3. Did your program meet the goal?

Yes

No

4. Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

Review Current Year's Goal (2019-20)
7. Is your program preparing teachers in mathematics in 2019-20? If no, leave the next question blank.
Yes No
8. Describe your goal.
Set Next Year's Goal (2020-21)
9. Will your program prepare teachers in mathematics in 2020-21? If no, leave the next question blank.
Yes
Yes No
No
No No
No No
No No
No No
No No
No No
No No
No No
No No

5. Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

6. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Annual Goals: Science

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route teacher preparation program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students.

(§205(a)(1) (A)(i), §205(a)(1)(A)(ii), §206(a))

Please note that the goals you set last year are not pre-loaded into your report because the Department's changes to wording of the questions in this section make them incompatible for pre-loading from last year's data. Next year, your goals will be pre-loaded into your report based on the goals you enter this year.

In order to complete this section, you may find it helpful to review your goals in your 2019 report. You may download and review your 2019 report by following the following steps: 1) click the Home tab, 2) click "Academic Year 2018-19 Data" in the banner near the top of the page to expand the dropdown, and select "Academic Year 2017-18 Data," 3) Click Download Report.

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

Quantifiable Goals

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

- >> Report Progress on Last Year's Goal (2018-19)
- >> Review Current Year's Goal (2019-20)
- >> Set Next Year's Goal (2020-21)

Report Progress on Last Year's Goal (2018-19)

1. Did your program prepare teachers in science in 2018-19?

If no, leave remaining questions for 2018-19 blank (or <u>clear responses already entered</u>).

Yes

No

2. Describe your goal.

- 3. Did your program meet the goal?
 - Yes
 - No

4. Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

Review Current Year's Goal (2019-20)
7. Is your program preparing teachers in science in 2019-20? If no, leave the next question blank.
Yes
No
8. Describe your goal.
Set Next Year's Goal (2020-21)
9. Will your program prepare teachers in science in 2020-21? If no, leave the next question blank.
Yes No
Yes
Yes No

5. Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

6. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Annual Goals: Special Education

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route teacher preparation program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students.

(§205(a)(1) (A)(i), §205(a)(1)(A)(ii), §206(a))

Please note that the goals you set last year are not pre-loaded into your report because the Department's changes to wording of the questions in this section make them incompatible for pre-loading from last year's data. Next year, your goals will be pre-loaded into your report based on the goals you enter this year.

In order to complete this section, you may find it helpful to review your goals in your 2019 report. You may download and review your 2019 report by following the following steps: 1) click the Home tab, 2) click "Academic Year 2018-19 Data" in the banner near the top of the page to expand the dropdown, and select "Academic Year 2017-18 Data," 3) Click Download Report.

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

Quantifiable Goals

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

- >> Report Progress on Last Year's Goal (2018-19)
- >> Review Current Year's Goal (2019-20)
- >> Set Next Year's Goal (2020-21)

Report Progress on Last Year's Goal (2018-19)

1. Did your program prepare teachers in special education in 2018-19?

If no, leave remaining questions for 2018-19 blank (or <u>clear responses already entered</u>).

- Yes
 - No
- 2. Describe your goal.

The EPP will align foundational assessment and exceptionalities courses within the Master of Arts in Teaching, Transition to Teaching, and MSEd in Special Education programs to increase common courses and quality instruction.

- 3. Did your program meet the goal?
 - Yes
 - No
- 4. Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

Services department increased the use of best practices for distance learning.
5. Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:
6. Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:
The graduate special education program became part of our Virtual Campus which resulted in a substantially reduced tuition rate, broader advertising for the program by our outside vendor, and increased enrollment. The Special Education graduate programs are aligned the program with the TTT and MAT in secondary education. This alignment allows the special education program to mirror the length of courses in the MAT and TTT, as well as create 2-3 common courses required of all of the graduate programs (TTT, MAT, and MSED). Thus far, the common courses created a better online experience for candidates and the instructor; increased the enrollment in the common courses and the interaction of special education and secondary education students created a positive learning experience.
Review Current Year's Goal (2019-20)
7. Is your program preparing teachers in special education in 2019-20? If no, leave the next question blank.
Yes No
8. Describe your goal.
The EPP will increase its enrollment in online special education programs.
Set Next Year's Goal (2020-21)
9. Will your program prepare teachers in special education in 2020-21? If no, leave the next question blank.

Yes

No

10. Describe your goal.

The goal of the special education program is to prepare high quality special education teachers who can apply their knowledge and skills in a variety of classroom settings.

SECTION II: ANNUAL GOALS

Annual Goals: Instruction of Limited English Proficient Students

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route teacher preparation program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students.

(§205(a)(1) (A)(i), §205(a)(1)(A)(ii), §206(a))

Please note that the goals you set last year are not pre-loaded into your report because the Department's changes to wording of the questions in this section make them incompatible for pre-loading from last year's data. Next year, your goals will be pre-loaded into your report based on the goals you enter this year.

In order to complete this section, you may find it helpful to review your goals in your 2019 report. You may download and review your 2019 report by following the following steps: 1) click the Home tab, 2) click "Academic Year 2018-19 Data" in the banner near the top of the page to expand the dropdown, and select "Academic Year 2017-18 Data," 3) Click Download Report.

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

Quantifiable Goals

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

- >> Report Progress on Last Year's Goal (2018-19)
- >> Review Current Year's Goal (2019-20)
- >> Set Next Year's Goal (2020-21)

Report Progress on Last Year's Goal (2018-19)

1. Did your program prepare teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2018-19?

If no, leave remaining questions for 2018-19 blank (or clear responses already entered).

Yes

No

2. Describe your goal.

3. Did your program meet the goal?

Yes

No

4. Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

Review Current Year's Goal (2019-20) 7. Is your program preparing teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2019-20? If no, leave the next question blank. Yes No No 8. Describe your goal.
Yes No
Set Next Year's Goal (2020-21)
9. Will your program prepare teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2020-21? If no, leave the next question blank. Yes
• No
10. Describe your goal.

5. Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

Assessment Pass Rates

The pass rates table is populated from files provided by the testing company or state. The table provides information on the performance of the students in your teacher preparation program on each teacher credential assessment used by your state. In cases where a student has taken a given assessment more than once, the highest score on that test is used. In the case of a teacher preparation program with fewer than 10 scores reported on any single initial teacher credential assessment during an academic year, the program shall collect and publish information with respect to an average pass rate and scaled score on each state credential assessment taken over a three-year period. (§205(a)(1)(B))

Please note that this page does not have an edit feature as the pass rates have already been through several rounds of verification. If you identify an error, please contact Westat's Title II Support Center and your testing company representative.

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

- Pass rate
- Scaled score
- Teacher credential assessment

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> Assessment Pass Rates

Assessment Pass Rates

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
008 -BUSINESS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	3			
008 -BUSINESS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2018-19	1			
008 -BUSINESS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	1			
010 -CAREER AND TECH EDUC—BUS AND INFORMATION TECH Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			
010 -CAREER AND TECH EDUC—BUS AND INFORMATION TECH Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	1			
013 -COMPUTER EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	1			

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
005 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			
005 -ELEMENTARY EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	1			
021 -ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	4			
021 -ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	2			
021 -ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	2			
024 -EXCEPTIONAL NEEDS—INTENSE INTERVENTION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			
024 -EXCEPTIONAL NEEDS—INTENSE INTERVENTION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2018-19	1			
025 -EXCEPTIONAL NEEDS—MILD INTERVENTION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	5			
025 -EXCEPTIONAL NEEDS—MILD INTERVENTION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2018-19	2			
025 -EXCEPTIONAL NEEDS—MILD INTERVENTION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	1			
025 -EXCEPTIONAL NEEDS—MILD INTERVENTION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	1			
064 -EXCEPTIONAL NEEDS—MILD INTERVENTION: READING INST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	3			
064 -EXCEPTIONAL NEEDS—MILD INTERVENTION: READING INST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2018-19	2			
064 -EXCEPTIONAL NEEDS—MILD INTERVENTION: READING INST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	1			
064 -EXCEPTIONAL NEEDS—MILD INTERVENTION: READING INST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	1			

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
030 -FINE ARTS—VISUAL ARTS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2018-19	1			
066 -HEALTH Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2018-19	2			
035 -MATHEMATICS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	2			
034 -MIDDLE SCHOOL MATHEMATICS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	4			
034 -MIDDLE SCHOOL MATHEMATICS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	2			
034 -MIDDLE SCHOOL MATHEMATICS Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	1			
036 -MIDDLE SCHOOL SCIENCE Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	1			
037 -MIDDLE SCHOOL SOCIAL STUDIES Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2018-19	1			
007 -P–12 EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2018-19	3			
007 -P–12 EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	1			
067 -PHYSICAL EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	2			
067 -PHYSICAL EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2018-19	1			
067 -PHYSICAL EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	1			
038 -READING Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2018-19	1			
043 -SCIENCE—CHEMISTRY Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	2			

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
045 -SCIENCE—LIFE SCIENCE Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	2			
045 -SCIENCE—LIFE SCIENCE Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	2			
045 -SCIENCE—LIFE SCIENCE Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	1			
006 -SECONDARY EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	4			
006 -SECONDARY EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2018-19	6			
006 -SECONDARY EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	14	260	14	100
006 -SECONDARY EDUCATION Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2016-17	6			
050 -SOCIAL STUDIES—GOVERNMENT AND CITIZENSHIP Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			
051 -SOCIAL STUDIES—HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVES Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	6			
051 -SOCIAL STUDIES—HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVES Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2017-18	2			
059 -WORLD LANGUAGES—SPANISH Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			

Summary Pass Rates

The pass rates table is populated from files provided by the testing company or state. The table provides information on the performance of the students in your teacher preparation program on each teacher credential assessment used by your state. In cases where a student has taken a given assessment more than once, the highest score on that test is used. In the case of a teacher preparation program with fewer than 10 scores reported on any single initial teacher credential assessment during an academic year, the program shall collect and publish information with respect to an average pass rate and scaled score on each state credential assessment taken over a three-year period. (§205(a)(1)(B))

Please note that this page does not have an edit feature as the pass rates have already been through several rounds of verification. If you identify an error, please contact Westat's Title II Support Center and your testing company representative.

Key terms in this section are listed below. Click on the link to view the definition(s) in the glossary.

- Pass rate
- Scaled score
- Teacher credential assessment

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> Summary Pass Rates

Summary Pass Rates

Group	Number taking tests	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
All program completers, 2018-19	11	8	73
All program completers, 2017-18	16	16	100
All program completers, 2016-17	6		

SECTION	$1 \setminus 1 \cap 1 \setminus $	-PERFORMING

Low-Performing

Provide the following information about the approval or accreditation of your teacher preparation program. ($\S205(a)(1)(D)$, $\S205(a)(1)(E)$)

THIS	PAC	F IN	ICI I	JDES

>> <u>Low-Performing</u>

	D		
	UAM	n	Ina
Low-	геп		HU
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	

No

1. Is your teacher preparation program currently approved or accredited?
Yes No
If yes, please specify the organization(s) that approved or accredited your program:
State CAEP AAQEP Other specify:
2. Is your teacher preparation program currently under a designation as "low-performing" by the state?

SECTION V: USE OF TECHNOLOGY

Use of Technology

On this page, review the questions regarding your program's use of technology. If you submitted an IPRC last year, this section is pre-loaded from your prior year's report; please review and update as necessary.

After reviewing and updating as necessary, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

TH	IS PAGE INCLUDES:
>>	Use of Technology

Use of Technology

1.	Provide the following information about the use of technology in your teacher preparation program.	Please note that choosing	'yes'	indicates that
	your teacher preparation program would be able to provide evidence upon request. (§205(a)(1)(F))			

Does your program prepare teachers to:

- a. integrate technology effectively into curricula and instruction
 - Yes
 - No
- b. use technology effectively to collect data to improve teaching and learning
 - Ye
 - No
- c. use technology effectively to manage data to improve teaching and learning
 - Yes
 - No
- d. use technology effectively to analyze data to improve teaching and learning
 - Yes
 - No
- 2. Provide a description of the evidence that your program uses to show that it prepares teachers to integrate technology effectively into curricula and instruction, and to use technology effectively to collect, manage, and analyze data in order to improve teaching and learning for the purpose of increasing student academic achievement. Include a description of the evidence your program uses to show that it prepares teachers to use the principles of universal design for learning, as applicable. Include planning activities and a timeline if any of the four elements listed above are not currently in place.

The EPP requires candidates to demonstrate competency with multiple components of technology in the course, EDUC 505- Integrated Classroom Technology at the graduate level. This course includes signature assignments that are aligned with state pedagogy standards and CAEP standards. Multiple courses incorporate information regarding appropriate instructional and assessment applications into their curriculum. Those courses are: SPED 613, Collaborative Models of Behavior Management; SPED 626, Functional Curriculum/Assistive Technology. READ 610, Literacy for All Learners, requires candidates to incorporate technology in assignments that demonstrate candidates' competencies in teaching literacy concepts. During EDUC 606, teacher candidates demonstrate their knowledge and skills in developing a gradebook, assignments and quizzes in an electronic LMS. In SPED 613, Collaborative Models of Behavior Management, a progress monitoring assignment requires candidates to use technology to collect and chart data in order to devise effective interventions for student behaviors. The Learning Experience Map (LEM) coupled with the Post-Observation Lesson Analysis Narrative (POLAN), requires candidates to use data to create and deliver formal instructional experiences suited for all learners in inclusive P-12 classes with the use or intended use of technology. Instruments utilized for the EPP's Candidate Field Assessment Process (CFAP) gauge candidates' proficiency with collecting and analyzing student performance data. Utilizing technology, candidates collect, analyze and display students' pre and post assessment scores. Also, candidates compare performance of sub-groups.

SECTION VI: TEACHER TRAINING

Teacher Training

Provide the following information about your teacher preparation program. (§205(a)(1)(G))

HIS	PA	GF I	INCL	UDES:

>> Teacher Training

Teacher Training

- 1. Provide a description of the activities that prepare general education teachers to:
 - a. Teach students with disabilities effectively

The EPP requires all candidates in the Master of Arts in Teaching and Transition to Teaching programs to enroll in SPED 602- Diverse Learners in Today's Classroom. The course engages candidates in an in-depth study of characteristics of students with exceptionalities. Applications of Universal Design for Learning are studied and applied in all field settings. All field evaluations include a component where the teacher candidate must demonstrate knowledge and skills in developing and delivering lessons which incorporate UDL strategies to effectively teach all students. EDUC 609-Diagnosis and Differentiation provides knowledge and skills for general education teachers to successfully differentiate content. EDUC 606- Inclusive Content Assessment requires candidates to modify an assessment to meet the needs of a mock student with disabilities profile, and write a script for a mock student-led conference reviewing assessment results. Every formal lesson evaluated in the field requires teacher candidates to identify students with disabilities and develop instructional strategies that will meet their needs during the lesson.

b. Participate as a member of individualized education program teams, as defined in section 614(d)(1)(B) of the *Individuals with Disabilities Education Act*.

The EPP produces learning experiences for candidates studying to be general education teachers to be engaged in the Individualized Education Program process. Candidates in SPED 602-Diverse Learners in Today's Classroom produce Present Levels of Performance related to students with disabilities in the role of a general education teacher. These present levels are developed in response the special education teachers creation of the Individualized Education Program. Candidates in EDUC 568-Responsive Classroom Management participate in a conference involving parents, teachers, and the K-12 student with concerns related to behavior. University faculty provide candidates with scenarios detailing a description of the K-12 student with exceptionalities. Candidates develop both a script and participate in a mock meeting to develop goals and solutions. Candidates in EDUC 606-Inclusive Content Assessment analyze data from an assessment and participate in a mock student led conference in which intervention strategies are selected based on the students' areas of weakness.

c. Effectively teach students who are limited English proficient.

The EPP does not have a specific course dedicated to limited English proficient. However, each lesson development and delivery requires candidates to employ UDL strategies for effective instruction of all learners. The EPP requires all candidates in the Master of Arts in Teaching and Transition to Teaching programs to enroll in SPED 602- Diverse Learners in Today's Classroom. The course engages candidates in an in-depth study of characteristics of LEP students. Applications of Universal Design for Learning are studied and applied in all field settings. All field evaluations include a component where the teacher candidate must demonstrate knowledge and skills in developing and delivering lessons which incorporate UDL strategies to effectively teach all students. EDUC 609- Diagnosis and Differentiation provides knowledge and skills for general education teachers to successfully differentiate content. Every formal lesson evaluated in the field requires teacher candidates to identify LEP students and develop instructional strategies that will meet their needs during the lesson.

- 2. Does your program prepare special education teachers?
 - Yes
 - No

a. Teach students with disabilities effectively

The EPP offers post-baccalaureate candidates the ability to license in mild and/or intense intervention. Courses are aligned to state and national standards to ensure candidates receive high quality preparation. The EPP developed a new course, SPED 660- Methods and Practicum in Mild Intervention to enhance candidate proficiencies as a special education teacher. Candidates in exceptional needs initial licensing programs must take SPED 602- Diverse Learners in Today's Classrooms. The course content introduces them to the IEP process, including their roles and responsibilities as members of IEP teams. All candidates take a course in SPED 613-Collaborative Models of Behavior Management. In this course, emphasis is placed on knowledge and skills that promote candidates' development of interpersonal and collaboration skills to facilitate their work not only with P-12 students, but with colleagues, supervisors, and the families of students with special learning, emotional, and linguistic needs. SPED 626-Functional Curriculum and Assisted Technology exposes teacher candidates to resources and methods needed to effectively instruct students with intense exceptional needs. These courses develop candidates' knowledge and skills necessary for Individual Education Programs, Transition Plans, Functional Behavior Assessments, and Behavior Intervention Plans.

b. Participate as a member of individualized education program teams, as defined in section 614(d)(1)(B) of the *Individuals with Disabilities Education Act*.

Indiana Educator Preparation Standards include the following: Teachers of students with mild and intense exceptional needs have a broad and comprehensive understanding of procedures for developing, implementing, monitoring, and amending individualized programs and demonstrate the ability to follow these procedures in planning and implementing individualized programs. Candidates in SPED 602-Foundations in Exceptionalities produce Present Levels of Performance related to students with disabilities in the role of a general education teacher. These present levels are developed in response the special education teachers creation of the Individualized Education Program. EDUC 606- Inclusive Content Assessment requires candidates to modify an assessment to meet the needs of a mock student with disabilities profile, and write a script for a mock student-led conference reviewing assessment results. All special education major candidates take SPED 660- Methods & Practicum. Candidates taking SPED 660 are provided a mock in-depth psychological evaluation and are required to fully develop and write an Individualized Education Program (IEP). Once the IEP has been developed, candidates facilitate, in the role of the special education teacher, a case conference with peers and university faculty members. The case conference requires candidates to be aware of Free and Appropriate Public Education, Least Restrictive Environments, and Goals for the students with exceptionalities. In SPED 626- Assistive Technology and Functional Curriculum, candidates develop measureable goals and participate in a detailed study of each element of the IEP process. Candidates are encouraged to participate, when allowed by the participating K-12 school, in case conferences during their practicum experiences.

c. Effectively teach students who are limited English proficient.

The EPP does not have a specific course dedicated to limited English proficient. However, each lesson development and delivery requires candidates to employ UDL strategies for effective instruction of all learners. The EPP requires all candidates in the Master of Arts in Teaching and Transition to Teaching programs to enroll in SPED 602- Diverse Learners in Today's Classroom. The course engages candidates in an in-depth study of characteristics of LEP students. Applications of Universal Design for Learning are studied and applied in all field settings. All field evaluations include a component where the teacher candidate must demonstrate knowledge and skills in developing and delivering lessons which incorporate UDL strategies to effectively teach all students. EDUC 609- Diagnosis and Differentiation provides knowledge and skills for general education teachers to successfully differentiate content. Every formal lesson evaluated in the field requires teacher candidates to identify LEP students and develop instructional strategies that will meet their needs during the lesson.

Contextual Information

On this page, review the contextual information about your program. If you submitted an IPRC last year, this section is pre-loaded from your prior year's report; please review and update as necessary.

After reviewing and updating as necessary, save the page using the floating save box at the bottom of the page.

THIS PAGE INCLUDES:

>> Contextual Information

Contextual Information

Please use this space to provide any additional information that describes your teacher preparation program(s). You may also attach information to this report card (see below). The U.S. Department of Education is especially interested in any evaluation plans or interim or final reports that may be available.

The EPP offers a Transition to Teaching (TTT) program in Middle and Secondary Education. The TTT program prepares candidates in pedagogy who hold Baccalaureate degrees in content areas. The EPP does not prepare candidates in content disciplines. Candidates must demonstrate proficiency of content knowledge and skills by passing the state of Indiana's licensure exams in content areas before they take their practicum and student teaching with the EPP. Additionally, candidates must pass the state licensure exam in pedagogy in order to apply for an Indiana teaching license. The EPP offers a transition to teaching program in P-12 Visual Art. This initial licensing program of study prepares candidates to teach Pre-K through 12. The new license is expanded from its previous focus only on grades 6-12. Post-baccalaureate Special Education candidates may seek licensure in preschool, elementary, and/or middle-high school settings. Under Indiana's licensing rules, candidates may receive an initial license in mild intervention and add content areas to the initial license by passing state-approved standardized content knowledge tests, such as mathematics, science, etc. The Special Education licensure program requirements were decreased by three credit hours to align to the Indiana state Transition to Teaching required program hours. This now allows the EPP to be listed as a TTT Special Education EPP in Indiana on the Indiana Department of Education's website. The EPP revised its graduate special education, MAT in secondary education and TTT course content and course sequences to allow for some shared courses, similar timelines, and close alignment to the Indiana Educator Preparation Standards.

Supporting Files

No files have been provided.

You may upload files to be included with your report card. You should only upload PDF or Microsoft Word or Excel files. These files will be listed as links in your report card. Upload files in the order that you'd like them to appear.

Report Card Certification

Please make sure your entire report card is complete and accurate before completing this section. Once your report card is certified you will not be able to edit your data.

Certification of submission

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge, the information in this report is accurate and complete and conforms to the definitions and instructions used in the Higher Education Opportunity Act, Title II: Reporting Reference and User Manual.

NAME OF RESPONSIBLE REPRESENTATIVE FOR TEACHER PREPARATION PROGRAM:

Dr. Mary Riepenhoff

TITLE:

Chair of Division of Education

Certification of review of submission

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge, the information in this report is accurate and complete and conforms to the definitions and instructions used in the
| J | Higher Education Opportunity Act, Title II: Reporting Reference and User Manual.

NAME OF REVIEWER:

Kelly Rothgeb

TITLE:

Accreditation Coordinator